

# CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thursday, August 21, 2003  
9:00 a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

## Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Steve Fitzgerald	TA Module	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_21_2003_item1
02	Dan Hall	Commons	Maintenance	High	CCB_08_21_2003_item2
03	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_21_2003_item3
04	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_21_2004_item4
05	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_21_2004_item5
06	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Defect	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item6
07	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	New Requirement	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item7
08	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Defect	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item8
09	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	Critical	CCB_08_14_2003_item9
W1	Sara Silver	Receipt and Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_walk1
W2	Carl Newcomer	IEdison-038	Database change	Low	CCB_08_14_2003_walk2

## Request & Decision Summary

01	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Steve Fitzgerald	TA Module	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item1

	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>This CCB request should replace the previous request submitted earlier this week. After further evaluation, it has been found that the errors reported were due to a different problem having to do with the payback calculation.</p> <p>The original requirements for the payback calculation, detailed in the payback calculation business rules document, discussed the business rules for postdoc support. The <i>current</i> logic implemented for the payback calculation is the following: Post-doc support can be used to payback prior NRSA obligations.</p> <p>However, <i>the actual policy states that post-doc support can only be used to payback other POSTDOC NRSA obligations</i>. POST-DOC support CAN NOT be used to pay back prior PREDOC obligations.</p> <p>The requirements document addresses this business rule, but the logic is discussed in several places and was likely missed. I have modified the document to be consistent in all places where it's mentioned.</p> <p>The payback calculation needs to be changed to allow POSTDOC support to only be used to payback prior postdoc obligations. Postdoc support CAN NOT be used to payback prior PRE-Doc obligations.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Tentative Approval</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Mike Wilson, Lisa Chen) Verify development hours needed. If item can not be absorbed, notify CCB so that a lower priority item can be identified to remove from scope and free up hours.</li> </ol>				
02	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Dan Hall	Commons	Maintenance Release	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item2
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>The Commons Demo Facility has a bug in the deployed version. The default password provided by the user is lower case but the password required is uppercase. This needs to be fixed as the Demo Site is largely unusable. The fix for this is very minor and will be included in the interim patch release with no incremental hours required.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
03	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item3
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>In the PGM Post Award page, the "Future Years" column shows zero for all records. This issue was fixed in development and test back in the middle of July. Somehow this TAR was missed in the production build script for the July deployment.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for out of cycle change. Bundle changes whenever possible.</li> </ol>				
04	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>

	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item4
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>The baselined requirements for CGAP Receipt and Referral processing will not allow an application to be processed through Receipt and Referral until the SO verification has been accomplished on the Commons. This requirement adds the PI verification as well as the SO verification as necessary before DRR processing can begin. Additionally, the status should be equal to “approved”, not “accepted”.</p> <p>In the use case, Basic Flow step 3 states</p> <p>“The system searches CGAP application records with an “Application Entered” process stage for the entered criteria, where the SO verification has been completed (the verification indicator for SO is equal to ‘accepted’).”</p> <p>Instead, this should state</p> <p>“The system searches CGAP application records with an “Application Entered” process stage for the entered criteria, where the <i>SO and the PI</i> verifications <i>have</i> been completed (the verification indicator for both the <i>SO and the PI</i> is equal to ‘<i>approved</i>’).”</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Sara Silver	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item5
05	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>A CGAP application is not available to be processed through Receipt and Referral until the SO and PI verifications have been completed on the Commons. DRR users will need a report that displays applications where the SO and PI verifications are overdue (after 2 business days for the SO, and 5 business days for the PI). At this time, an “overdue” verification will not be withdrawn from the system. The report will be available from the Receipt and Referral Report Menu.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Defer</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Sara Silver) Define off-line query that can be run as work-around until more permanent solution can be worked into a release schedule.</li> </ol>				
06	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Defect	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item6

	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>When the Receipt &amp; Referral user enters partial address information on the data entry screens (398, Fellowship) and navigates away from any of the Address blocks (P.I. Mailing Address, Applicant Organization Address, Administrative Official) before entering data for the city, state and zip code fields, the system displays an error message and forces the user to enter address data before permitting changes to any other fields on the data entry screens. This defect stems from recent address changes implemented in the August 2003 deployment and is slowing down Receipt &amp; Referral's productivity.</p> <p>The system is currently validating address information each time the user navigates away from any of the address blocks. Prior to the August 2003 deployment, the user was able to freely navigate and update any fields prior to saving data.</p> <p>The system needs to be changed to validate address information after the user saves the data.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Tentative Approval</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Mike Wilson, Lisa Chen) Verify development hours needed. If item can not be absorbed, notify CCB so that a lower priority item can be identified to remove from scope and free up hours.</li> <li>2. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for out of cycle change. Bundle changes whenever possible.</li> </ol>				
07	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	New Requirement	High	CCB 08 14 2003 item7
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>A change in the Receipt Date (from November 30 to December 31) for Loan Repayment grants (LRP) necessitates changes to the algorithm used in calculating the Receipt Date. The defaulted date set by the system should also be updateable by the Receipt and Referral user.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b></p> <p>Approved – Change default Receipt Date to December 31 Defer – make default date updateable by Receipt and Referral user</p>				
08	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Defect	High	CCB 08 14 2003 item8
	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>As a result of Degree code changes implemented in the August 2003 deployment, it has been noted that the tabbing sequence has not been synchronized to reflect the current screen layout.</p> <p>Currently, the tabbing order bypasses three fields on Receipt &amp; Referral's 398 data entry screen (<i>Degrees, Department, and Subdivision</i>). This defect forces the Receipt &amp; Referral user to manually navigate to three additional fields thus slowing down productivity.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for out of cycle change. Bundle changes whenever possible.</li> </ol>				
09	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	Critical	CCB 08 14 2003 item9

	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>In the August 1 release of GM the screen mapping was inadvertently switched so that the answer to the question “Institutional Assurance Filed?” is updating the IRB certification field in the database and the answer to the “IRB Certification Filed?” question is updating the Institutional Assurance field. There are business rules preventing the awarding of grants unless these values are correct so the users are forced to answer the questions incorrectly to successfully process an award. For example, they must either enter fake IRB certification information or enter the answer to the IRB certification question in the Institutional Assurance field or they cannot make an award. At this time of the fiscal year the ICs need to make full use of the features of these fields, which include the ability to do conditional awards for the end of the fiscal year. The Helpdesk and the Office for Extramural Programs (who must lift bars to award) are also getting lots of calls and emails due to this problem. I’m therefore making a request that this be fixed in an emergency patch.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> PRE-APPROVED FOR DEV &amp; TEST BY TIM TWOMEY ON 8/18/03</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for out of cycle change. Bundle changes whenever possible.</li> </ol>				
	Sara Silver	Receipt and Referral	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_08_14_2003_walk1
W1	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>As an unintended side-effect of screen changes related to the July release address changes, the format mask for fax number on the 398 data entry screen is no longer working. Both phone number and fax numbers had been formatted as soon as the user pressed enter; this now works only for phone number.</p> <p><i>When users export a hitlist from ICStore, Excel is displaying the unformatted fax number string in scientific notation format. There may be other impacts that have not yet been discovered. Recommend an out-of-cycle fix for this defect, along with an SQAIB script to fix the data entered since August 1.</i></p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Tim Twomey, Ali Ghassemzadeh) Set deployment date/time for out of cycle change. Bundle changes whenever possible.</li> <li>2. (Jim Tucker) Fix data that is already in the system.</li> </ol>				
	Carl Newcomer	IEdison-038	Database change	Low	CCB_08_14_2003_walk2
W2	<p><b>Request:</b></p> <p>The Patent table appears to be experiencing full table locks preventing other users from accessing data when only one transaction is pending. Based on some research, it appears that the current lock mode, Allpages, will escalate to a table lock when the table contains Text columns (Test column in Sybase are equivalent to Oracle’s BLOBs I believe). Preliminary testing determined that this behavior can be eliminated by changing the locking mode to Datarows which is similar to the Oracle’s locking mode.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Change can be easily backed out if this change has a negative effect on performance.</li> </ol>				